Errata

This errata sheet lists errors and their corrections for the doctoral thesis of Alvaro Torres Urzua titled "Contrast-enhanced ultrasound in children". Karolinska institutet, Stockholm Sweden, 2023. ISBN 978-91-8017-189-2 and printed by Universitetsservice US-AB 2023

| Section/Page/Line | New text. Corrected text in cursive and underlined | | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|
| 4.33/30/6 | "A table with the remaining <u>28</u> cases is presented in Table 11" | | |
| 4.33/30/7 (Table 11) | See corrected Table 11 below. | | |

Also, a "corrigendum" for paper I was published in 2018 and is now included in this sheet (Acta Radiol. 2018 Jun 1;59(6):NP12–NP12.)

Table 11 Contingency table for calculations of PPV, NPV, Sensitivity and Specificity of CEUS as a diagnostic tool for differentiation between malignant and benign lesions. PPV, positive predictive value, NPV, negative predictive value, CI, confidence intervals, N/A, not applicable

| | Disease (Malignant) | No disease (Benign) | Total | Calculation |
|------------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------|---|
| CEUS positive (Malignant) | 0 | 1 | 1 | PPV: N/A |
| CEUS negative (Benign) | 0 | <u>27</u> | <u>27</u> | NPV: <u>27</u> / (<u>27</u> +0) = 100% (95% Cl: <u>0.87</u> to 1.00) |
| Total (n) | 0 | <u>28</u> | <u>28</u> | |
| Calculation | Sensitivity: N/A | Specificity: <u>27</u> / (<u>27</u> +1) = <u>96</u> % (95% Cl: <u>0.82</u> to 1.00) | | |

Check for updates

Corrigendum

Acta Radiologica 2018, Vol. 59(6) NP12 © The Foundation Acta Radiologica 2017 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0284185117720786 journals.sagepub.com/home/acr



Torres A, Koskinen S, Gjertsen H et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound using sulfur hexafluoride is safe in the pediatric setting. Acta Radiol. Epub ahead of print 8 February 2017. DOI: 10.1177/0284185117690423.

In the abstract, some data was incorrectly reported in the sentence "We identified 183 patients (mean age, 11 years; range, 0.1–18 years) who underwent a total of 287 CEUS exams." The corrected sentence is provided below.

We identified 173 patients (mean age, 11 years; range, 0.1–18 years) who underwent a total of 287 CEUS exams.